

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/587,075

Applicant(s)

SHAFRON, THOMAS J.

Examiner

Tuan A Vu

Art Unit

2124

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Tuan A Vu.

(3)_____.

(2) James DeCarlo (#36120).

(4)_____.

Date of Interview: 02/19/2004.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: N/A.

Identification of prior art discussed: N/A.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner had contacted Attorney earlier on 1/22/2004 for discussing a possibility for Examiner's amendments to the claims for a potential allowance. And some agreed-upon corrections had been implemented to the claims to the effect of putting the application into condition for allowance. But after further review of the claims, Examiner found out that there are more informalities to be corrected than originally detected. Examiner then has contacted Attorney to inform that an office action would be sent out instead and also to let Attorney understand that this Office action would not take into account all the examiner's amendments previously agreed upon when the case was thought to be in condition for allowance; and Attorney has agreed to wait for this action.